arXiv:1509.02513v3 [math.AP] 26 Dec 2016

ON UNIQUENESS OF WEAK SOLUTIONS OF INCOMPRESSIBLE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS IN 3-DIMENSIONAL CASE

KAMAL N. SOLTANOV

Abstract. Here we investigate 3-dimensional Navier-Stokes Equations in the incompressible case with use of different approach and we prove the uniqueness of the weak solutions for the data from the space, which is dense in usual space of data. Moreover we study the solvability and uniqueness of the weak solutions of problems associated with investigation of the main problem.

1. Introduction

In this article we investigate Navier-Stokes equations in the incompressible case, i.e. we consider the following system of equations:

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t}-\nu\Delta u_i+\sum_{j=1}^{d}u_j\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}+\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}=h_i,\quad i=\overline{1,d}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\operatorname{div}u=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i}=0,\quad x\in\Omega\subset R^d, t>0\quad, \tag{1.2}$$

$$u\left(0,x\right)=u_0\left(x\right),\quad x\in\Omega;\quad u\big|_{\ (0,T)\times\partial\Omega}=0 \tag{1.3}$$

where $\Omega\subset R^d$ is a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $T>0$ is a positive number. As it is well known Navier-Stokes equations describe the motion of a fluid in R^d ($d=2$ or 3). These equations are to be solved for an unknown velocity vector $u(x,t)=\{u_i(x,t)\}_1^d\in R^d$ and pressure $p(x,t)\in R$, defined for position $x\in R^d$ and time $t\geq 0$, $h_i(x,t)$ are the components of a given, externally applied force (e.g. gravity), ν is a positive coefficient (the viscosity), $u_0\left(x\right)\in R^d$ is a sufficiently smooth vector function (vector field).

As known in [1] is shown (see, also, [2], [3], [4], [5], [6]) that the Navier–Stokes equations (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) in three dimensions always have a weak solution (p,u) with suitable properties. But the uniqueness of weak solutions of the Navier–Stokes equation is not known in three space dimensions case. Uniqueness of weak solution were proved in two space dimensions case ([7], [6], see also [8]), and under complementary conditions on smoothnes of the solution three dimensions case was studied also (see, for example, [6], [12], etc.). For the Euler equation, uniqueness of weak solutions is strikingly false (see, [9], [10]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35K55, 35K61, 35D30, 35Q30; Secondary 76D03, 76N10.

Key words and phrases. 3D-Navier-Stokes Equations, Uniqueness, Solvability.

It is needed to note that the regularity of solutions in three dimensions case were investigated and partial regularity of the suitable weak solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations were obtained (see, [11], [13], [14], [6], [2]). There exist many works which study different properties of solutions of the Navier–Stokes equation (see, for example, [6], [2], [14], [5], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25]), etc.) and also different modifications of Navier–Stokes equation (see, for example, [6], [26], etc.).

In this article an investigation of the question on uniqueness of the weak solutions of the mixed problem with Dirichlet boundary condition for the incompressible Navier-Stokes in the $3D$ case is given. Here for investigation we use an approach that is different from usual methods which are used for investigation of the questions of such type. Precisely this approach allows us to solve the posed problem. So with the use of the this approach we prove the uniqueness of the weak solutions of the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations without complementary conditions on the velocity, but under the complementary assumption on h and u_0. And also we study the auxiliary problems, more exactly we prove the existence and uniqueness of the weak solutions of auxiliary problems. The main result of this article is the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let $\Omega \subset R^3$ be a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $T > 0$ be a number. Then for each given $(h, u_0) \in L^2\left(0, T; \left(H^1(\Omega)\right)^3\right) \times V(\Omega)$ and every fixed $p \in L^2\left(0, T; H^1(\Omega)\right)$ the incompressible $3D-$ Navier-Stokes Equations (i.e. problem (1.1) - (1.3) in $d = 3$) has a unique solution in $V\left(Q^T\right)$.*

2. Preliminary results

In the beginning we explore some properties that is connected with uniqueness of solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations. As is well known (see, for example, [6] and references therein) problem (1.1) - (1.3) possesses weak solution in the space $V\left(Q^T\right)$, that will be defined later on, for any $u_{0i}(x)$, $h_i(x,t)$ $(i = \overline{1,3})$ which are contained in the suitable spaces (in the case $d = 3$, that we will investigate here, essentially).

Definition 1. *Let $V\left(Q^T\right)$ be the space determined as (see,* [6]*)*

$$V\left(Q^T\right) \equiv L^2\left(0, T; V(\Omega)\right) \cap W^{1,2}\left(0, T; \left(H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)^3\right) \cap L^\infty\left(0, T; (H(\Omega))^3\right),$$

where

$$V(\Omega) = \left\{ v \mid v \in \left(W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)\right)^3 \equiv \left(H_0^1(\Omega)\right)^3, \quad \operatorname{div} v = 0 \right\},$$

and

$$u_0 \in (H(\Omega))^3, \quad h \in L^2\left(0, T; \left(H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)^3\right),$$

here $(H(\Omega))^3$ is the closure in $\left(L^2(\Omega)\right)^3$ of

$$\left\{ \varphi \,\middle|\, \varphi \in \left(C_0^\infty(\Omega)\right)^3, \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0 \right\}.$$

Definition 2. *A $u \in V\left(Q^T\right)$ is called the solution of problem (1.1) - (1.3) if $u(t,x)$ satisfies the initial condition $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$ and the following equation*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle u, v\rangle - \langle \nu\Delta u, v\rangle + \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{d} u_j \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}, v \right\rangle = \langle h - \nabla p, v\rangle$$

for any $v \in V\left(Q^T\right)$ *and of every fixed* $p \in L^2\left(Q^T\right)$ *on* $(0,T)$ *in the sense of* L^2.[1]

So, from now on we will use this definition together with the standard notation that is widely used in the literature. Let the posed problem have two different solutions $u(t,x), v(t,x) \in V\left(Q^T\right)$, then within the known approach we get the function $w(t,x) = u(t,x) - v(t,x)$ of the following problem (as is well known, if this method is used then the pressure p "will disappear")

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left\|w\right\|_2^2 + \nu\left\|\nabla w\right\|_2^2 + \sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\left\langle \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x_j} w_k, w_j\right\rangle = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$w\left(0,x\right) \equiv w_0\left(x\right) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega; \quad w\left|\,_{(0,T)\times\partial\Omega}\right. = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\langle f,g\rangle = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{3}\int\limits_{\Omega} f_i g_i dx$ for any $f,g \in \left(H\left(\Omega\right)\right)^3$, or $f \in \left(H^{-1}\left(\Omega\right)\right)^3$ and $g \in \left(H^{-1}\left(\Omega\right)\right)^3$, respectively.

Now we have some remarks about properties of solutions of the problem (1.1) - (1.3). As is known ([1], [2], [6]), problem (1.1) - (1.3) is solvable and possesses weak solution that is contained in the space $V\left(Q^T\right)$, which is defined in Definition 1. Therefore we will conduct our study under the condition that problem (1.1) - (1.3) have weak solutions and they are contained in $V\left(Q^T\right)$. For the study of the uniqueness of the posed problem in the three dimensioned case we will use the ordinary approach by assuming that problem (1.1) - (1.3) has, at least, two different solutions $u(t,x), v(t,x) \in V\left(Q^T\right)$ but using a different procedure we will demonstrate that this is not possible.

Consequently if we assume that problem (1.1) - (1.3) have two different solutions then they need to be different at least on some subdomain Q_1^T of Q^T. In other words there exist a subdomain Ω_1 of Ω and an interval $(t_1,t_2) \subseteq (0,T]$ such that subdomain Q_1^T one can define as $Q_1^T \subseteq (t_1,t_2)\times\Omega_1 \subseteq Q^T$ with $meas_4\left(Q_1^T\right) > 0$ for which the following is true

$$meas_4\left(\left\{(t,x)\in Q^T \mid \left|u(t,x)-v(t,x)\right| > 0\right\}\right) = meas_4\left(Q_1^T\right) > 0 \tag{2.3}$$

here we denote the measure of Q_1^T in R^4 as $meas_4\left(Q_1^T\right)$ (Four dimensional Lebesgue measure.) Whence follows, that for the subdomain Ω_1 takes place the inequation: $meas_3(\Omega_1) > 0$.

Even though we prove the following lemmas for $d > 1$, we will use them mostly for the case $d = 4$.

In the beginning we prove the following lemmas that we will use later on.

Lemma 1. *Let* $G \subset R^d$ *be Lebesgue measurable subset then the following statements are equivalent:*

1) $\infty > meas_d\left(G\right) > 0$;

2) there exist a subset $I \subset R^1$, $meas_1\left(I\right) > 0$ *and* $G_\beta \subset L_{\beta,d-1}$, $meas_{d-1}\left(G_\beta\right) > 0$ *such that* $G = \underset{\beta\in I}{\cup} G_\beta \cup N$, *where* N *is a set with* $meas_{d-1}\left(N\right) = 0$, *and* $L_{\beta,d-1}$ *is the hyperplane of* R^d, *with* $\operatorname{co}\dim_d L_{\beta,d-1} = 1$, *for any* $\beta \in I$, *which is generated by single vector* $y_0 \in R^d$ *and defined in the following form*

$$L_{\beta,d-1} \equiv \left\{y \in R^d \mid \langle y_0, y\rangle = \beta\right\}, \quad \forall\beta \in I.$$

---

[1] For the widened explenation one can look [6].

Proof. Let $meas_d(G) > 0$ and consider the class of hyperlanes $L_{\gamma,d-1}$ for which $G \cap L_{\gamma,d-1} \neq \varnothing$ and $\gamma \in I_1$, here $I_1 \subset R^1$ be some subset. It is clear that

$$G \equiv \bigcup_{\gamma \in I_1} \{x \in G \cap L_{\gamma,d-1} \mid \gamma \in I_1\}.$$

Then there exists a subclass of hyperplanes $\{L_{\gamma,d-1} \mid \gamma \in I_1\}$ for which the inequality $meas_{d-1}(G \cap L_{\gamma,d-1}) > 0$ is satisfied. The number of such type hyperplanes cannot be less than countable or equal it because $meas_d(G) > 0$, moreover this subclass of I_1 must possess the R^1 measure greater than 0 since $meas_d(G) > 0$. Indeed, let $I_{1,0}$ be this subclass and $meas_1(I_{1,0}) = 0$. If we consider the set

$$\{(\gamma, y) \in I_{1,0} \times G \cap L_{\gamma,d-1} \mid \gamma \in I_{1,0}, y \in G \cap L_{\gamma,d-1}\} \subset R^d$$

where $meas_{d-1}(G \cap L_{\gamma,d-1}) > 0$ for all $\gamma \in I_{1,0}$, but $meas_1(I_{1,0}) = 0$, then

$$meas_d(\{(\gamma, y) \in I_{1,0} \times G \cap L_{\gamma,d-1} \mid \gamma \in I_{1,0}\}) = 0.$$

On the other hand we have

$$0 = meas_d(\{(\gamma, y) \in I_1 \times G \cap L_{\gamma,d-1} \mid \gamma \in I_1\}) = meas_d(G)$$

as $meas_{d-1}(G \cap L_{\gamma,d-1}) = 0$ for all $\gamma \in I_1 - I_{1,0}$. But this contradicts the condition $meas_d(G) > 0$. Consequently, the statement 2 holds.

Let the statement 2 holds. It is clear that the class of hyperplanes $L_{\beta,d-1}$ defined by such way are paralell and also we can define the class of subsets of G as its cross-section with hyperplanes, i.e. in the form: $G_\beta \equiv G \cap L_{\beta,d-1}$, $\beta \in I$. Then $G_\beta \neq \varnothing$ and we can write $G_\beta \equiv G \cap L_{\beta,d-1}$, $\beta \in I$, moreover $G \equiv \bigcup_{\beta \in I} \{x \in G \cap L_{\beta,d-1} \mid \beta \in I\} \cup N$. Whence we get

$$G \equiv \{(\beta, x) \in I \times G \cap L_{\beta,d-1} \mid \beta \in I, x \in G \cap L_{\beta,d-1}\} \cup N.$$

Consequently $meas_d(G) > 0$ by virtue of conditions $meas_1(I) > 0$ and $meas_{d-1}(G_\beta) > 0$ for any $\beta \in I$. □

From Lemma 1 it follows that for the study of the measure of some subset $\Omega \subseteq R^d$ it is enough to study its foliations by a class of suitable hyperplanes.

Lemma 2. *Let problem (1.1) - (1.3) has, at least, two different solutions u, v that are contained in $V(Q^T)$. Then there exists, at least, one class of parallel and different hyperplanes L_α, $\alpha \in I \subseteq (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \subset R^1$ $(\alpha_2 > \alpha_1)$ with $co\dim_{R^3} L_\alpha = 1$ such, that $u \neq v$ on $Q^T_{L_\alpha} \equiv [(0,T) \times (\Omega \cap L_\alpha)] \cap Q^T_1$, and vice versa, here $meas_1(I) > 0$ and L_α are hyperlanes which are defined as follows there is vector $x_0 \in S_1^{R^3}(0)$ such that*

$$L_\alpha \equiv \left\{x \in R^3 \mid \langle x_0, x\rangle = \alpha, \ \ \forall \alpha \in I\right\}.$$

Proof. Let problem (1.1) - (1.3) have two different solutions $u, v \in V(Q^T)$ then there exist a subdomain of Q^T on which these solutions are different. Then there are $t_1, t_2 > 0$ such, that for any $t \in J \subseteq [t_1, t_2] \subseteq [0, T)$ the following holds

$$meas_{R^3}(\{x \in \Omega \mid |u(t,x) - v(t,x)| > 0\}) > 0 \tag{2.4}$$

where $meas_1(J) > 0$ by the virtue of the codition

$$meas_4\left(\left\{(t,x) \in Q^T \mid |u(t,x) - v(t,x)| > 0\right\}\right) > 0$$

and of Lemma 1. Hence follows, that there exist, at least, one class of parallel hyperplanes L_α, $\alpha \in I \subseteq (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \subset R^1$ with $co\dim_{R^3} L_\alpha = 1$ such that

$$meas_{R^2} \{x \in \Omega \cap L_\alpha \mid |u(t,x) - v(t,x)| > 0\} > 0, \ \forall \alpha \in I \tag{2.5}$$

for $\forall t \in J$, where the subset I is such that $I \subseteq (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \subset R^1$ with $meas_1(I) > 0$, $meas_1(J) > 0$ and (2.5) holds, by virtue of (2.4). This proves the "if" part of Lemma.

Now consider the converse assertion. Let there exist a class of hyperplanes L_α, $\alpha \in I_1 \subseteq (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \subset R^1$ with $co\dim_{R^3} L_\alpha = 1$ that fulfills the condition of Lemma and I_1 satisfies the same condition I. Then there exist, at least, one subset J_1 of $[0, T)$ such, that $meas_1(J_1) > 0$ and the inequality $u(t,x) \neq v(t,x)$ on Q_2^T with $meas_4\left(Q_2^T\right) > 0$ defined as $Q_2^T \equiv J_1 \times U_L$ takes place, where

$$U_L \equiv \bigcup_{\alpha \in I_1} \{x \in \Omega \cap L_\alpha \mid u(t,x) \neq v(t,x)\} \subset \Omega, \ t \in J_1 \tag{2.6}$$

for which the inequality $mes_{R^3}(U_L) > 0$ is satisfied by the condition and of Lemma 1.

So we get

$$u(t,x) \neq v(t,x) \quad \text{on} \ \ Q_2^T \equiv J_1 \times U_L, \quad meas_4\left(Q_2^T\right) > 0.$$

Thus the fact that $u(t,x)$ and $v(t,x)$ are different functions in $V\left(Q^T\right)$ follows. □

May be one can prove more general lemmas of such type with the use of regularity properties of weak solutions of this problem (see, [9], [13], [14], etc.).

3. Uniqueness of Solutions of Navier-Stokes Equations in Three Dimension case

From Lemma 2 it follows that for the investigation of the posed question it is enough to investigate this problem on the suitable cross-sections of the domain $Q^T \equiv (0,T) \times \Omega$.

So, firstly we will define subdomains of $Q^T \equiv (0,T) \times \Omega$ as follows $Q_L^T \equiv (0,T) \times (\Omega \cap L)$, where L is arbitrary fixed hyperplane of the dimension two and $\Omega \cap L \neq \varnothing$. Therefore we will study the problem on the subdomain defined by the use of the cross-section of Ω by arbitrary fixed hyperplane dimension two L, i.e. by the $co\dim_{R^3} L = 1$ ($\Omega \cap L$, namely on $Q_L^T \equiv (0,T) \times (\Omega \cap L)$).

Consequently, we will investigate uniqueness of the problem (1.1) - (1.3) on the "cross-section" Q^T defined by the cross-section of Ω, where $\Omega \subset R^3$. This cross-section we understand in the following sense: Let L be a hyperplane in R^3, i.e. with $co\dim_{R^3} L = 1$, that is equivalent to R^2. We denote by Ω_L the cross-section of the form $\Omega_L \equiv \Omega \cap L \neq \varnothing$, $mes_{R^2}(\Omega_L) > 0$, in the particular case $L \equiv (x_1, x_2, 0)$. In the other words, if L is the hyperplane in R^3 then we can determine it as $L \equiv \left\{x \in R^3 \mid a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3 = b\right\}$, where coefficients $a_i, b \in R^1$ $(i = 1,2,3)$ are the arbitrary fixed constants. Whence follows, that $a_3x_3 = b - a_1x_1 - a_2x_2$ or $x_3 = \frac{1}{a_3}(b - a_1x_1 - a_2x_2)$ if one assume $a_i \neq 0$ $(i = 1,2,3)$, or if one takes into account of substitutions: $\frac{b}{a_3} \Longrightarrow b, \frac{a_1}{a_3} \Longrightarrow a_1$ and $\frac{a_2}{a_3} \Longrightarrow a_2$ we derive $x_3 = b - a_1x_1 - a_2x_2$ in the new coefficients.

Thus we have

$$D_3 \equiv \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_3} D_1 + \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial x_3} D_2 = -a_1^{-1} D_1 - a_2^{-1} D_2 \quad \& \tag{3.1}$$

$$D_3^2 = a_1^{-2} D_1^2 + a_2^{-2} D_2^2 + 2a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} D_1 D_2, \quad D_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{3.2}$$

For the application of our approach we need to assume that functions u_0 and h posseses some smoothness. Moreover as is known from the existence result p is arbitrary fixed elements of $L^2\left(Q^T\right)$, but we will assume here and its smoothness.

So we assume the following conditions in order to apply the our approach to the posed problem, i.e. now we need take account the following condition of Theorem 1 holds. More exactly:

Let $p \in L^2\left(0, T; H^1(\Omega)\right)$ and

$$u_0 \in \left(H_0^1(\Omega)\right)^3, \quad h \in L^2\left(0, T; \left(H^1(\Omega)\right)^3\right).$$

Then we can transform of problem (1.1) - (1.3) to the following problem, that is equivalent to the posed problem on $[0, T) \times \Omega_L$ by virtue of the above condition of the main theorem, here $T > 0$ some number,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta u + \sum_{j=1}^{3} u_j D_j u + \nabla p = \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial t} - \nu\left(D_1^2 + D_2^2 + D_3^2\right) u_L +$$

$$u_{L1} D_1 u_L + u_{L2} D_2 u_L + u_{L3} D_3 u_L + \nabla p_L = \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial t} - \nu\left[D_1^2 + D_2^2 + a_1^{-2} D_1^2 + \right.$$

$$\left. a_2^{-2} D_2^2 + 2a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} D_1 D_2\right] u_L + u_{L1} D_1 u_L + u_{L2} D_2 u_L - u_{L3} a_1^{-1} D_1 u_L -$$

$$u_{L3} a_2^{-1} D_2 u_L + \nabla p_L = \frac{\partial u_L}{\partial t} - \nu\left[\left(1 + a_1^{-2}\right) D_1^2 + \left(1 + a_2^{-2}\right) D_2^2\right] u_L -$$

(3.3)

$$2\nu a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} D_1 D_2 u_L + \left(u_{L1} - a_{1u_L3}^{-1}\right) D_1 u_L + \left(u_{L2} - a_2^{-1} u_{L3}\right) D_2 u_L + \nabla p_L = h_L$$

on $(0, T) \times \Omega_L$, by virtue of (3.1) and (3.2). We get

$$\operatorname{div} u_L = D_1\left(u_L - a_1^{-1} u_{L3}\right) + D_2\left(u_L - a_2^{-1} u_{L3}\right) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega_L, \ t > 0 \tag{3.4}$$

$$u_L(0, x) = u_{L0}(x), \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega_L; \quad u_L \mid_{(0,T) \times \partial\Omega_L} = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

using same way.

In the beginning it is necessary to investigate the existence of the solution of problem (3.3) - (3.5) and determine the space where the existing solutions are contained. Consequently for ending the proof of the uniqueness theorem, it is enough to prove the existence theorem and the uniqueness theorem for the derived problem (3.3) - (3.5), in this case. So now we will investigate (3.3) - (3.5).

3.1. Existence of Solution of Problem (3.3) - (3.5).

To carry out the known argument started by Leray ([1], see, also [6]) we can determine the following space

$$V(\Omega_L) = \left\{ v \mid v \in \left(W_0^{1,2}(\Omega_L)\right)^3 \equiv \left(H_0^1(\Omega_L)\right)^3 \quad, \operatorname{div} v = 0 \right\},$$

where div is regarded in the sense (3.4). Consequently, a solution of this problem will be understood as follows: Let $h_L \in L^2\left(0, T; \left(H^{-1}(\Omega_L)\right)^3\right)$ and $u_{0L} \in \left(H_0(\Omega_L)\right)^3$, here $\left(H(\Omega_L)\right)^3$ is the closure in $\left(L^2(\Omega_L)\right)^3$ of

$$\left\{ \varphi \,\middle|\, \varphi \in \left(C_0^\infty(\Omega_L)\right)^3, \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0 \right\}.$$

So, following the terminology used by J.-L. Lions [6] we call the solutions of the problem (3.3) - (3.5) a pair of functions $(u_L(t,x), p_L(t,x))$ if $(u_L(t,x), p_L(t,x))$ is a solution of the problem

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle u_L, v\rangle - \langle \nu\Delta u_L, v\rangle + \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{Lj} D_j u_L, v \right\rangle = \langle h_L - \nabla p_L, v\rangle , \quad \langle u_L(x), v\rangle = \langle u_{0L}, v\rangle ,$$

for any $v \in V(\Omega_L)$, then a function $p_L(t,x)$ will be chosen as a fixed element of $L^2((0,T)\times\Omega_L) \equiv L^2\left(Q_L^T\right)$, here $\langle \circ, \circ\rangle$ is the dual form for the pair of spaces $\left(V(\Omega_L), \left(H^{-1}(\Omega_L)\right)^3\right)$.

Hence we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u_L\|^2_{(H(\Omega_L))^3}(t) + \nu\left(1 + a_1^{-2}\right)\|D_1 u_L\|^2_{(H(\Omega_L))^3}(t) +$$

$$\nu\left(1 + a_2^{-2}\right)\|D_2 u_L\|^2_{(H(\Omega_L))^3}(t) + 2\nu a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1}\langle D_1 u_L, D_2 u_L\rangle(t) = \langle h_L, u_L\rangle ,$$

with use of (3.1) and next (3.4), where $\langle f, g\rangle = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{3}\int\limits_{\Omega_L} f_i g_i dx$ for any $f, g \in (H(\Omega_L))^3$, or $f \in \left(H^1(\Omega_L)\right)^3$ and $g \in \left(H^{-1}(\Omega_L)\right)^3$, respectively.

From the above equality by usual calculations (as in [6], [27], etc.) we get a priori estimates for the functions $u(t,x)$ that shows the inclusion $u_L \in V\left(Q_L^T\right)$, where

(3.6)
$$V\left(Q_L^T\right) \equiv L^2(0,T; V(\Omega_L)) \cap W^{1,2}\left(0,T; \left(H^{-1}(\Omega_L)\right)^3\right) \cap L^\infty\left(0,T; (H(\Omega_L))^3\right).$$

If one take into account the stationary part (or elliptic part) in the left side of above equality then it is not difficult to see the coerciveness of the operator induced by this part from $L^2(0,T; V(\Omega_L))$ to $L^2\left(0,T; \left(H^{-1}(\Omega_L)\right)^3\right)$. Moreover with the use of the embedding theorems (see, [6], [26], [24]) we obtain the weak compactness of the operator induced by the posed problem, also. The calculations of such type were used in many works devoted to the problems of such type(see, in particular, [6], [27], [28] and their references).

So, with use of methods employed for problems of such type (see, for example, [6], [23] etc.) we obtain solvability of this problem in the space $V\left(Q_L^T\right)$.

Consequently the following result is proved.

Theorem 2. *Under above conditions for any* $(h_L, u_{0L}) \in L^2\left(0,T; \left(H^{-1}(\Omega_L)\right)^3\right) \times (H(\Omega_L))^3$ *problem (3.3) - (3.5) has weak solutions* $(u_L(t,x), p_L(t,x))$ *that is contained in* $V_L\left(Q_L^T\right) \times L_2\left(Q_L^T\right)$*, here* $p_L \in L_2\left(Q_L^T\right)$ *is arbitrary fixed element.*

We need to note that for the proof of this theorem it is enough to apply the known general solvability result from [26] (or [24], [28], [25] see, also their references).

3.2. **Uniqueness of Solution of Problem (3.3) - (3.5).** For the study of the uniqueness of the solution as usually: we will assume that the posed problem have two different solutions $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$, $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and p_1, p_2, and we will investigate its difference: $w = u - v$, $p = p_1 - p_2$. (Here for brevity we won't specify indexes for functions as we investigate problem (3.3) - (3.5) on Q_L^T.) Then for w, p

we obtain the following problem

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}-\nu\left[\left(1+a_1^{-2}\right)D_1^2+\left(1+a_2^{-2}\right)D_2^2\right]w-2\nu a_1^{-1}a_2^{-1}D_1D_2w+$$

$$\left(u_1-a_1^{-1}u_3\right)D_1u-\left(v_1-a_1^{-1}v_3\right)D_1v+\left(u_2-a_2^{-1}u_3\right)D_2u-$$

$$\left(v_2-a_2^{-1}v_3\right)D_2v+\nabla p=0,$$

$$\operatorname{div}w=D_1\left[\left(u-a_1^{-1}u_3\right)-\left(v-a_1^{-1}v_3\right)\right]+D_2\left[\left(u-a_2^{-1}u_3\right)-\right.$$

$$\left.\left(v-a_2^{-1}v_3\right)\right]=D_1w+D_2w-\left(a_1^{-1}D_1+a_2^{-1}D_2\right)w_3=0, \tag{3.7}$$

$$w\left(0,x\right)=0,\quad x\in\Omega\cap L;\quad w\left|_{\,(0,T)\times\partial\Omega_L}\right.=0. \tag{3.8}$$

Hence we derive

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|w\right\|_2^2+\nu\left[\left(1+a_1^{-2}\right)\left\|D_1w\right\|_2^2+\left(1+a_2^{-2}\right)\left\|D_2w\right\|_2^2\right]+$$

$$2\nu a_1^{-1}a_2^{-1}\left\langle D_1w,D_2w\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(u_1-a_1^{-1}u_3\right)D_1u-\left(v_1-a_1^{-1}v_3\right)D_1v,w\right\rangle+$$

$$\left\langle\left(u_2-a_2^{-1}u_3\right)D_2u-\left(v_2-a_2^{-1}v_3\right)D_2v,w\right\rangle=0$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|w\right\|_2^2+\nu\left(\left\|D_1w\right\|_2^2+\left\|D_2w\right\|_2^2\right)+\nu\left[a_1^{-2}\left\|D_1w\right\|_2^2+\right.$$

$$\left.a_2^{-2}\left\|D_2w\right\|_2^2+2a_1^{-1}a_2^{-1}\left\langle D_1w,D_2w\right\rangle\right]+\left\langle u_1D_1u-v_1D_1v,w\right\rangle+$$

$$\left\langle u_2D_2u-v_2D_2v,w\right\rangle-a_1^{-1}\left\langle u_3D_1u-v_3D_1v,w\right\rangle-a_2^{-1}\left\langle u_3D_2u-v_3D_2v,w\right\rangle=0. \tag{3.9}$$

If we consider the last 4 added elements of left part (3.9), separately, and if we simplify the calculations then we get

$$\left\langle w_1D_1u,w\right\rangle+\left\langle v_1D_1w,w\right\rangle+\left\langle w_2D_2u,w\right\rangle+\left\langle v_2D_2w,w\right\rangle-$$

$$a_1^{-1}\left\langle w_3D_1u,w\right\rangle-a_1^{-1}\left\langle v_3D_1w,w\right\rangle-a_2^{-1}\left\langle w_3D_2u,w\right\rangle-a_2^{-1}\left\langle v_3D_2w,w\right\rangle=$$

$$\left\langle w_1D_1u,w\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle v_1,D_1w^2\right\rangle+\left\langle w_2D_2u,w\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle v_2,D_2w^2\right\rangle-a_1^{-1}\left\langle w_3D_1u,w\right\rangle-$$

$$\frac{1}{2}a_1^{-1}\left\langle v_3,D_1w^2\right\rangle-a_2^{-1}\left\langle w_3D_2u,w\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}a_2^{-1}\left\langle v_3,D_2w^2\right\rangle=$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left\langle v_1-a_1^{-1}v_3,D_1w^2\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle v_2-a_2^{-1}v_3,D_2w^2\right\rangle+$$

$$\left\langle\left(w_1-a_1^{-1}w_3\right)w,D_1u\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(w_2-a_2^{-1}w_3\right)w,D_2u\right\rangle=$$

$$\left\langle\left(w_1-a_1^{-1}w_3\right)w,D_1u\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(w_2-a_2^{-1}w_3\right)w,D_2u\right\rangle.$$

In the last equality we use the condition $\operatorname{div}v=0$ (see, (3.4)) and the condition (3.8).

If we take into account this equality in equation (3.9) then we get the equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|w\right\|_2^2+\nu\left(\left\|D_1w\right\|_2^2+\left\|D_2w\right\|_2^2\right)+\nu\left[a_1^{-2}\left\|D_1w\right\|_2^2+\right.$$

$$\left.a_2^{-2}\left\|D_2w\right\|_2^2+2a_1^{-1}a_2^{-1}\left\langle D_1w,D_2w\right\rangle\right]+\left\langle\left(w_1-a_1^{-1}w_3\right)w,D_1u\right\rangle+$$

$$\left\langle\left(w_2-a_2^{-1}w_3\right)w,D_2u\right\rangle=0,\quad (t,x)\in(0,T)\times\Omega_L \tag{3.10}$$

Consequently, we derive the Cauchy problem for the equation (3.10) with the initial condition

$$\left\|w\right\|_2(0)=0. \tag{3.11}$$

Hence giving rise to the differential inequality we get the following Cauchy problem for the differential inequality

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|w\right\|_2^2+\nu\left(\left\|D_1w\right\|_2^2+\left\|D_2w\right\|_2^2\right)\leq\left|\left\langle\left(w_1-a_1^{-1}w_3\right)w,D_1u\right\rangle\right|+$$

$$\left|\left\langle\left(w_2-a_2^{-1}w_3\right)w,D_2u\right\rangle\right|, \tag{3.12}$$

with the initial condition (3.11).

We have the following estimate for the right side of (3.10')

$$\left|\left\langle\left(w_1-a_1^{-1}w_3\right)w,D_1u\right\rangle\right|+\left|\left\langle\left(w_2-a_2^{-1}w_3\right)w,D_2u\right\rangle\right|\leq$$

$$\left(\left\|w_1-a_1^{-1}w_3\right\|_4+\left\|w_2-a_2^{-1}w_3\right\|_4\right)\left\|w\right\|_4\left\|\nabla u\right\|_2\leq$$

whence with the use of Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality ([29]) we have

$$\left(1+\max\left\{\left|a_1^{-1}\right|,\left|a_2^{-1}\right|\right\}\right)\left\|w\right\|_4^2\left\|\nabla u\right\|_2\leq c\left\|w\right\|_2\left\|\nabla w\right\|_2\left\|\nabla u\right\|_2.$$

It follows to note that

$$\left(w_1-a_1^{-1}w_3\right)w,\ \left(w_2-a_2^{-1}w_3\right)w\in L^2\left(0,T;V^*\left(\Omega_L\right)\right)$$

by virtue of (3.6).

Now taking this into account in (3.12) one can arrive the following Cauchy problem for inequality

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|w\right\|_2^2(t)+\nu\left\|\nabla w\right\|_2^2(t)\leq c\left\|w\right\|_2(t)\left\|\nabla w\right\|_2(t)\left\|\nabla u\right\|_2(t)\leq$$

$$C\left(c,\nu\right)\left\|\nabla u\right\|_2^2(t)\left\|w\right\|_2^2(t)+\nu\left\|\nabla w\right\|_2^2(t),\quad\left\|w\right\|_2(0)=0$$

since $w\in L^\infty\left(0,T;\left(H\left(\Omega_L\right)\right)^3\right)$, and consequently $\left\|w\right\|_2\left\|\nabla w\right\|_2\in L^2\left(0,T\right)$ by the virtue of the above existence theorem $w\in V\left(Q_L^T\right)$, here $C\left(c,\nu\right)>0$ is constant.

Thus we obtain the problem

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|w\right\|_2^2(t)\leq 2C\left(c,\nu\right)\left\|\nabla u\right\|_2^2(t)\left\|w\right\|_2^2(t),\quad\left\|w\right\|_2(0)=0,$$

if we denote $\left\|w\right\|_2^2(t)\equiv y(t)$ then

$$\frac{d}{dt}y(t)\leq 2C\left(c,\nu\right)\left\|\nabla u\right\|_2^2(t)\,y(t),\quad y(0)=0.$$

Consequently we obtain $\left\|w\right\|_2^2(t)\equiv y(t)=0$, i.e. the following result is proved:

Theorem 3. *Under conditions of Lemma 2.1 for each given*

$$\left(h,u_0\right)\in L^2\left(0,T;\left(H^{-1}\left(\Omega_L\right)\right)^3\right)\times\left(H\left(\Omega_L\right)\right)^3$$

problem (3.3) - (3.5) has a unique weak solution $\left(u\left(t,x\right),p\left(t,x\right)\right)$ *that is contained in* $V\left(Q_L^T\right)\times L_2\left(Q_L^T\right)$ *(here as above* $p\in L_2\left(Q_L^T\right)$ *is arbitrary fixed element).*

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.**

Proof. (of Theorem 1). As is known ([1], [8], [6]), problem (1.1) - (1.3) is solvable and possesses weak solution that is contained in the space $V\left(Q^T\right)$. So, assume problem (1.1) - (1.3) has, at least, two different solutions under conditions of Theorem 1.

It is clear that if the problem have more than one solution then there is, at least, some subdomain of $Q^T \equiv (0,T)\times\Omega$, on which this problem has, at least, two solutions such, that each from the other are different. Consequently, starting from the above Lemma 2 we need to investigate the existence and uniqueness of the posed problem on arbitrary fixed subdomain on which it is possibl that our problem can possess more than one solution, more exactly in the case when the subdomain is generated by an arbitrary fixed hyperplane by the virtue of Lemma 2. It is clear that, for us it is enough to prove that no such subdomain generated by a hyperplane on which more than single solutions of problem (1.1) - (1.3) exists, again by virtue of Lemma 2. In other words, for us it remains to use the above results (i.e. Theorems 2 and 3) in order to end the proof.

From the proved theorems above we obtain that there does not exist a subdomain, defined in the previous section, on which problem (1.1) - (1.3) reduced on this subdomain might possesses more than one weak solution. Consequently, taking Lemma 2 into account we obtain that the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation (i.e. problem (1.1) - (1.3)) under conditions of Theorem 1 possesses only one weak solution. □

Hence one can make the following conclusion

4. CONCLUSION

Let $p\in L^2\left(Q^T\right)$ is arbitrary fixed element and

$$(h,u_0)\in L^2\left(0,T;\left(H^{-1}\left(\Omega\right)\right)^3\right)\times\left(H\left(\Omega\right)\right)^3.$$

Well known that the following inclusions are dense

$$L^2\left(0,T;H^1\left(\Omega\right)\right)\subset L^2\left(Q^T\right);\ V\left(\Omega\right)\subset\left(H\left(\Omega\right)\right)^3\quad\&$$

$$L^2\left(0,T;\left(H^1\left(\Omega\right)\right)^3\right)\subset L^2\left(0,T;\left(H^{-1}\left(\Omega\right)\right)^3\right)$$

consequently there exist sequences

$$\left\{p_m\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}\subset L^2\left(0,T;H^1\left(\Omega\right)\right);\ \left\{u_{0m}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}\subset V\left(\Omega\right);$$

$$\left\{h_m\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}\subset L^2\left(0,T;\left(H^1\left(\Omega\right)\right)^3\right)$$

such that $p_m\longrightarrow p$ in $L^2\left(Q^T\right)$ and $\left\|p_m\right\|_{L^2(Q^T)}\leq\left\|p\right\|_{L^2(Q^T)}$, $u_{0m}\longrightarrow u_0$ in $\left(H\left(\Omega\right)\right)^3$ and $\left\|u_{0m}\right\|_{(H(\Omega))^3}\leq\left\|u_0\right\|_{(H(\Omega))^3}$, $h_m\longrightarrow h$ in $L^2\left(0,T;\left(H^{-1}\left(\Omega\right)\right)^3\right)$ and $\left\|h_m\right\|_{L^2\left(0,T;(H^{-1}(\Omega))^3\right)}\leq\left\|h\right\|_{L^2\left(0,T;(H^{-1}(\Omega))^3\right)}$.

Consequently for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $m\left(\varepsilon\right)\geq 1$ such that under $m\geq m\left(\varepsilon\right)$ for the corresponding elements p_m, u_{0m}, h_m of the above sequences

$$\left\|p-p_m\right\|_{L^2(Q^T)}<\varepsilon;\ \left\|u_0-u_{0m}\right\|_{(H(\Omega))^3}<\varepsilon;\ \left\|h-h_m\right\|_{L^2\left(0,T;(H^{-1}(\Omega))^3\right)}<\varepsilon$$

hold, and also the claim of Theorem 1 is valid for problem (1.1) - (1.3) with these elements.

One can note that the space that is everywhere dense subset of the necessary space possess the minimal smoothnes in the relation with this space and also is sufficient for the application of our approach. So we establish:

Proposition 1. *For any* (h, u_0, p) *from the space* $L^2\left(0,T;H^1(\Omega)\right) \times V(\Omega) \times L^2\left(0,T;H^1(\Omega)\right)$ *that is everywhere dense in the space* $L^2\left(0,T;\left(H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)^3\right) \times (H(\Omega))^3 \times L^2\left(Q^T\right)$ *the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation has unique solution in* $V\left(Q^T\right)$ *in the sense of Definition 2.*

References

[1] J. Leray, Sur le Mouvement d'un Liquide Visquex Emplissent l'Espace, Act aMat h. J. 63 (1934), 193–248.

[2] O. Ladyzhenskaya, *The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flows* (2nd edition), Gordon and Breach, (1969).

[3] Majda, Andrew J.; Bertozzi, Andrea L. *Vorticity and incompressible flow*, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2002).

[4] P. Constantin, Some open problems and research directions in the mathematical study of fluid dynamics, in Mathematics Unlimited–2001 and Beyond, (2001), Springer, Berlin, 353–360.

[5] Charles L. Fefferman, Existence and smoothness of the Navier-Stokes Equation, Millennium Problems, Clay Math. Inst.(2006)

[6] J.-L. Lions, *Quelques methodes de resolution des problemes aux limites non lineaires*, (1969), Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, Paris

[7] J.-L. Lions, G. Prodi, Un theoreme d'existence et unicite dans les equations de Navier-Stokes en dimension 2, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 248, (1959), 3519-3521.

[8] O. Ladyzhenskaya, Ob odnoznachnoj razreshimosti v tselom trekhmernoj zadachi Cauchy dkja uravnenija Navier-Stokes pri nalichii osevoj simmetrii, Zap. nauch. sem. LOMI, 7, (1968), 155-177

[9] V. Scheffer, Turbulence and Hausdorff dimension, in Turbulence and the Navier–Stokes Equations, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 565, Springer Verlag, 1976, pp. 94–112.

[10] A. Shnirelman, On the nonuniqueness of weak solutions of the Euler equation, Comm. Pure & Appl. Math. 50 (1997), 1260–1286.

[11] V. Scheffer, An inviscid flow with compact support in spacetime, J. Geom. Analysis 3, No. 4 (1993), 343–401.

[12] A. V. Fursikov, Control problems and theorems concerning the unique solvability of a mixed boundary value problem for the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes and Euler equations, Math. USSR Sbornik, 43, 2 (1982), 251-273

[13] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, L. Nirenberg, Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations, Comm. Pure & Appl. Math. 35 (1982), 771–831.

[14] F.–H. Lin, A new proof of the Caffarelli–Kohn–Nirenberg theorem, Comm. Pure. & Appl. Math. 51 (1998), 241–257.

[15] P. Constantin, I. Kukavica, V. Vicol, On the inviscid limit of the Navier-Stokes equations, Proc. AMS, 143, 7 (2015), 3075-3090

[16] C. Foias, O. Manley, R. Rosa, R. Temam, *Turbulence and Navier-Stokes equations*, Cambridge University Press, (2001).

[17] C. Foias, R. Rosa and R.Temam, A note on statistical solutions of the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations: the time-dependent case, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Ser. I, 348, (2010), 235-240.

[18] C. Foias, R. Rosa, R.Temam, A note on statistical solutions of the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations: the stationary case, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I, 348, (2010), 347-353

[19] C. Foias, R. M. S. Rosa, R. M. Temam, Convergence of Time Averages of Weak Solutions of the Three-Dimensional Navier-Stokes Equations, J. Statistical Phys., 160, 3, (2015), 519-531

[20] N. Glatt-Holtz, V. Sverak, V. Vicol, On inviscid limits for the stochastic Navier-Stokes equations and related models, Archive for rational mech. and analysis, 217, 2, (2015), 619-649

[21] X. Huang, Y. Wang, Global strong solution of 3D inhomogeneous Navier-Stokes equations with density-dependent viscosity, J. Diff. Eq., 259, 4, (2015), 1606-1627

[22] Ch. Perrin, E. Zatorska, Free/Congested two-phase model from weak solutions to multi-dimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations, Comm. in PDE, 40, 8, (2015), 1558-1589

[23] K. N. Soltanov, Perturbation of the mapping and solvability theorems in the Banach space. Nonlinear Analysis: T.M.&A, 72, 1, (2010)

[24] K. N. Soltanov, *Some applications of the nonlinear analysis to the differential equations*, Baku, ELM, (2002)

[25] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes Equations Theory and Numerical Analysis*, North- Holland Pub. Company, in English, Third revised edition, (1984).

[26] K. N. Soltanov, On some modification of the Navier-Stokes equations. Nonlinear Analysis, T. M. & A., 52, 3, (2003), 769-793.

[27] K. N. Soltanov, M. Ahmadov, On Nonlinear Parabolic Equation in Nondivergent Form with Implicit Degeneration and Embedding Theorems. arXiv:1207.7063, math.AP math-ph, math.FA, math.MP, 25 p, (2012), http://arxiv.org/licenses/nonexclusive-distrib/1.0/, http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.7063

[28] K. N. Soltanov, Some imbedding theorems and nonlinear differential equations. Trans. Ac. Sci. Azerb., ser. Math. & Phys.-Techn, 19, 5, (1999), 125 - 146.

[29] O. V. Besov, V.P. Il'in, S.M. Nikol'skii, *Integral'nye predstavleniya funktsii i teoremy vlozheniya (Integral Representations of Functions and Embedding Theorems)*, 2nd ed., rev. and compl., Moscow: Nauka, (1996).

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics National Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan, AZERBAIJAN; Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Hacettepe University, Ankara, TURKEY

E-mail address: `soltanov@hacettepe.edu.tr ; sultan_kamal@hotmail.com`

URL: `http://www.mat.hacettepe.edu.tr/personel/akademik/ksoltanov/index.html`